



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

|  |                 | *                    |                          |                  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 09/475,602                             | 12/30/1999      | BRYAN J. MOLES       | SAMS01-00097 6560        |                  |
| 23990                                  | 7590 06/04/2004 |                      | EXAMINER                 |                  |
| DOCKET CLERK                           |                 |                      | SON, LINH L D            |                  |
| P.O. DRAWER 800889<br>DALLAS, TX 75380 |                 |                      | ART UNIT                 | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                 |                      | 2135                     | Λ                |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAIL ED: 06/04/2004 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   |   | 029          |
|--|---|---|--------------|
| 1'   | Application N   | Applicant(s)  | 1            |
|  | 09/475,602  | MOLES ET AL.  |              |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |              |
|  | Linh LD Son   | 2135  |              |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciate for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add   | ress         |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nmunication. |
| Status   |   |   |              |
| <ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 De</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression.</li> </ul>  | action is non-final.<br>ace except for formal matters, pro  |   | merits is    |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |              |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  |   |   |              |
| Application Papers   |   |   |              |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  11)   | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>lected to. See 37 CFF  |              |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |              |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>   | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicati<br>ity documents have been receive<br>i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No<br>ed in this National S  | stage        |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:  | ate   | 152)         |

Application/Control Number: 09/475,602

Art Unit: 2135

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.



- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-13, 1518, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chuah et al hereinafter ("Chuah")(US 6665718B1).
- 3. As per Claims 1, 9, and 17, Chuah discloses "Mobility Management System" invention, which includes a wireless network (Col 1 line 12), comprising a plurality of base stations (Col 5 lines 49-56), each of said base stations communicate with a plurality of mobile stations, a security device (Registration agent, Col 7 lines 17, Col 8 lines 27-41, and Col 8 lines 44-59) capable of preventing an unauthorized workstation from accessing an Internet protocol (IP)

  Data network through a network, said security device comprising: a first controller (Access Hub, Col 6 line 66 to Col 7 line 11, and Col 6 lines 15-16) capable of receiving from said un-provisioned mobile station an IP data packet payload (Col

Art Unit: 2135

7 lines 12-25) and encrypting at least a portion of said IP packet payload (Col 7 lines 31-41, and Col 8 lines 8-12).

- 4. As per Claims 2 and 11, Chuah discloses the security device set forth in claims 1 and 9 where said first controller is disposed in at least one of said plurality of base stations (Col 6 lines 66).
- 5. As per Claims 3 and 10, Chuah discloses the security device set forth in Claim 1 and 9 wherein said first controller is disposed in a mobile switching center of said wireless network (Col 7 line 30).
- 6. As per claims 4, and 12, Chuah teaches the security device set forth in claim 1 comprising a second controller (Registration Agent, Col 7 lines 12-15) capable of determining that said un-provisioned mobile station is un-provisioned (Col 8 line 66 to Col 9 line 20).
- 7. As per claims 5, 13, and 18, Chuah discloses the security device set forth in Claim 1, wherein said second controller determines that said un-provisioned mobile station is un-provisioned if said un-provisioned mobile station is unable to authenticate to said wireless network (Col 16 line 54 to Col 17 line 20).

Art Unit: 2135

8. As per claims 7, 15, and 20, Chuah discloses the security device set forth in claims 1,9, and 17 wherein the second controller determines that said unprovisioned mobile station is un-provisioned according to data receive from a home location register associated with said wireless network (Col 15 lines 5-6, and Col 16 lines 54-63).

9. As per claims 8 and 16, Chuah discloses the security device set forth in Claim 1 wherein said first controller comprises a data processor capable of executing an encryption program stored in a memory associated with said data processor (Col 10 lines 55-67, and Col 14 lines 3-16).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- **10.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 6, 14, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chuah et al and in view of Henry (US 5,603,084).

Application/Control Number: 09/475,602

Art Unit: 2135

**12**. As per Claims 6, 14, and 19, Chuah teaches the security device set forth in Claim 1, 9 and 17 and also the second controller and further suggests of different method of internet remote access, such as GSM/CDMA/TDMA network and more listed in Col 4 line 64 to Col 5 line 9. However, Chuah does not teach the step of determining that an un-provisioned mobile station that is unauthorized according to a predetermined telephone number. Nevertheless, Henry discloses a cellular system connected to PSTN through a mobile switching center (MSC) (Col 5 lines 3-21). The cellular system along with the MSC provides determination of a mobile access rights by using the Mobile Identification Number (MIN) equivalent to a 10 digits telephone number (Col 5 lines 33-49). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Henry into the second controller on the security device of Chuah to determine the mobile station is authorized/unauthorized based on the telephone number. The incorporation would add another layer of authentication to the wireless network to minimize unauthorized mobile device accessing the network (Col 4 lines 29-59 and Col 5 lines 45-64).

## Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/475,602

Art Unit: 2135

- **14.** Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Linh Son whose telephone number is (703)-305-8914.
- 15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Kim Y. Vu can be reached at (703)-305-4393. The fax numbers for this group are (703)-872-9306 (official fax). Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-305-9600.

LLS

Patent Examiner

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100